AGENCY ESTIMATE

OF THE FISCAL IMPACT OF IMPLEMENTING

SB 38 2011 General Session

K-3 Reading Amendments

Sponsor: Karen W. Morgan Lead Analyst: Ben Leishman Agency Contact: Emily Eyre Title: Research Consultant

Agency Utah State Office of Education Office: 801-538-7671 Cell: 801-635-9666

A. Short Form (For bills that have no impact on the state, local governments, businesses, or individuals.)

If you can check all five boxes to the right, you're almost done. If the bill obviously doesn't have an impact, you're done.

If it isn't so obvious, explain what's going on. The most usual explanation is the codification of existing practices.

Attachments welcome.

- x State agencies will not require an appropriation to implement the bill.
- x There is no fiscal impact on local governments.
- x There is no fiscal impact on businesses
- x There is no fiscal impact on individuals.
- x The bill will not affect revenues.

If necessary, explain why this bill has no fiscal impact.

The changes proposed in the bill are not unreasonable to expect of LEAs or the USOE as part of their routine operations and therefore does not entail an appreciable cost.

B. What parts of the bill cause fiscal impact?

Cite specific sections or line numbers.

This bill will not require additional appropriations nor will it have a measurable fiscal impact on USOE, LEAs, or individuals.

C.	Which	program	gets t	he a	pprop	oriation!	

Enter 3 letter Appropriation Unit Code.

For multiple appropriations

This is of

D. Work Notes: Assumptions, calculations & what are we buying?

Explain the fiscal impact in plain English, detailing your assumptions, methods, & calculations.

List all direct costs. Identify one-time and ongoing costs. Detail FTE impacts.

Do not say, "\$50,000 in Current Expense." Be very specific about what this \$50,000 will buy.

Attachments encouraged.

E. REVENUES Select Fund	Current Budget Year FY 2011	Coming Budget Year FY 2012	Future Budget Year FY 2013				
Т	otal 0	0	0				
F. COSTS by FUND Select Fund	Current Budget Year FY 2011	Coming Budget Year FY 2012	Future Budget Year FY 2013				
Т	otal 0	0	0				
G. COSTS by EXPENDITURE CATEGORY.							
Expenses by Category Personal Services	Current Budget Year FY 2011	Coming Budget Year FY 2012	Future Budget Year FY 2013				
Travel Current Expense DP Current Expense DP Capital Outlay Capital Outlay Other/Pass Thru							
	otal 0	0	0				
H. Non-State Impa	acts Your estimate	e of how will the bill affect:					
Local Governments	Because LEAs are already doing the assessments, as long as they can continue with these same assessments they will not require additional appropriation.						
Businesses							
Individuals							
2010 Version 11.09 This is a draft fiscal note response fro	m the Utah State Office of Education (USOE) and may be revised in the future.	Attachments welcome.				